




ALTITUDE WIND TUNNEL

at NASA Glenn Research Center

An Interactive History



National Aeronautics and
Space Administration



history.nasa.gov

ALTITUDE WIND TUNNEL

at NASA Glenn Research Center
An Interactive History

Additional information can be found at:
<http://awt.grc.nasa.gov>

NASA SP-2008-4608

To learn more about NASA Glenn's Altitude Wind Tunnel and Space Power Chambers please visit,
<http://awt.grc.nasa.gov> .

Additional information on the history of Glenn Research Center can be found at, <http://history.grc.nasa.gov> .
NASA's main history Web site is <http://history.nasa.gov>.



Program Operation: This interactive program can be started by double clicking the AWT.exe (PC) or AWT (Mac) application file found on the disk."

This multimedia program has been designed for cross-platform (PC or Mac) operation and requires no additional software to function. For Mac systems, any version of OS X is supported. For PC systems, all versions of the Windows operating system are supported, though more current versions of the operating system are recommended for optimum performance. For further information, please consult the "ReadMe" file on the disk.



ALTITUDE WIND TUNNEL

at NASA Glenn Research Center

An Interactive History

When constructed in the early 1940s, the Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) was the nation's only wind tunnel capable of studying full-scale engines under realistic flight conditions. It played a significant role in the development of the first U.S. jet engines as well as technologies such as the afterburner and variable-area nozzle. In the late 1950s, the tunnel's interior components were removed so that hardware for Project Mercury could be tested in altitude conditions. In 1961, a portion of the tunnel was converted into one of the country's first large vacuum tanks and renamed the Space Power Chambers (SPC). SPC was used extensively throughout the 1960s for the Centaur rocket program.

This multimedia piece allows one to interactively learn about the Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) facility and the research performed there. The piece contains:

- A chronological history of the AWT from its construction during World War II and the testing of early jet engines, through the Mercury and Centaur programs of the 1960s, and up to the final use of the building for the Microwave Systems Laboratory
- Photographic surveys of the facility in its wind tunnel, vacuum tank, and final configurations
- Browsable gallery of over 200 captioned photographs and video clips
- A 9-minute documentary on the AWT produced by NASA in 1961
- Links to over 70 reports and publications related to AWT research and the history of the NACA

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Glenn Research Center

21000 Brookpark Road
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

history.nasa.gov

NASA SP-2008-4608

Altitude Wind Tunnel/Space Power Chambers

The Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) was capable of operating full-scale aircraft engines in conditions that replicated those encountered by aircraft during flight. The AWT was the first wind tunnel in the United States, and possibly the world, with this ability. From 1944 to 1958 it played a significant role in the improvement of turbojet, ramjet, and turboprop engines, and resolved a major engine problem on the B-29 bomber during World War II. The addition of large supersonic wind tunnels for engines and altitude simulating engine test stands at the center between 1948 and 1955, however, reduced the need for the AWT.

This reduction in use coincided with the emerging space program. In 1959 several of the tunnel's internal components were removed so that a series of Project Mercury tests could be conducted inside the actual tunnel. The tests were successful, but the facility would never be used again as a wind tunnel.

In 1961 the facility was converted into two large vacuum chambers and renamed the Space Power Chamber (SPC). The remainder of the tunnel's internal components were removed, and bulkheads were inserted to divide the tunnel into two test chambers. One chamber could create a space environment and was used to qualify systems on a full-size Centaur rocket. Nose cone jettison and propellant management studies were undertaken in the other chamber, which recreated the atmosphere of 100,000 feet.

During its 30 years of operation, the facility continually evolved to meet the nation's ever-changing aeronautics and space needs—from the reciprocating engine to second-stage rockets. This multimedia product seeks to bring the facility's rich history to life with a large quantity of photographs, video clips, reports, and other resources. Although the facility was demolished in 2008 due to lack of mission, high maintenance costs, and environmental concerns, it is hoped that its story and significance will live on through this interactive history.

PROPULSION RESEARCH 1944-1958




PROJECT MERCURY 1958-1960



CENTAUR PROGRAM 1961-1975

SELECT A MENU OPTION AT THE TOP OF THE SCREEN TO EXPLORE MORE OF THE ALTITUDE WIND TUNNEL

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION



- CONSTRUCTION
 - 2nd GENERATION JETS
 - CENTAUR PROGRAM
 - PROPOSED REHAB
 - DEMOLITION
- WORLD WAR II
 - PROJECT MERCURY
 - CONVERSION
- TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Close Window X



SUB-TOPICS

[NEED FOR AN ENGINE TUNNEL](#)

[DESIGN OF THE AWT](#)

[CONSTRUCTION OF THE AWT](#)

[OPERATION OF THE AWT](#)

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[Langley's First Wind Tunnel](#)

[History of Ames Research Center](#)

["Girding for War"](#)

CONSTRUCTION

'The difference between freedom and subjugation is the difference between 400 miles per hour and 350 miles per hour; the difference between flying at 30,000 feet and 20,000 feet; the difference between twenty guns and four guns; the difference between a good engine and one that is not good.' Those are the words of Dr. Edward Warner, NACA Chief Physicist, in January 1941, as the war in Europe intensified.

At the time, German aircraft were flying higher and faster than the US fighters. This was primarily due to the lack of attention the US had given to the improvement of aircraft engine technology. The NACA's Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory (AERL) and its Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) were created to rectify that situation. The US had never possessed a wind tunnel designed specifically to study the performance of aircraft engines or capable of creating actual flight conditions.

The engineering of this complex facility was said to have required more man-hours than the Hoover Dam. There were three distinct groups of engineers creating blueprints for this new type of wind tunnel—one designing the tunnel structure, one planning the test chamber, control room, fan, and exhaust system, and another creating the world's largest refrigeration system.

CLICK SMALL THUMBNAIL IMAGES FOR AN ENLARGED PREVIEW AND FURTHER INFORMATION

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT


PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE ▶ CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

◀ Return to CONSTRUCTION main page

Close Window X



VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[Wind Tunnels of NASA](#)

[Airplane Engine Performance](#)

CONSTRUCTION : THE NEED FOR THE AWT

Aided by a strong post-war economy and the immigration of European engineers, the US had a robust aeronautical industry. While the nation invested its energy into producing large quantities of aircraft, the Europeans spent their limited funds on aeronautical and propulsion research. By the late 1930s Europeans were using liquid-cooled engines and were in the process of developing the turbojet. Their aircraft, though fewer in number than the US, could fly higher and faster. The NACA was created in 1915 to coordinate the nation's aeronautical research. In 1920 the NACA created its own research laboratory at Langley Field, Virginia which focused its efforts on aerodynamics and not propulsion. The Propeller Research Tunnel was built by 1927 to study drag caused by engine protuberances and propellers, but not the engine's performance.

The National Bureau of Standards had done some altitude testing of its Liberty engine in 1917, but in general there were no other methods of studying an aircraft engine in flight conditions without the often dangerous test flights. In the mid-1930s the NACA discovered that Germany had an extensive aeronautical research program with an entire laboratory dedicated to engine research. By the end of the decade, Congress approved funding for two new NACA research centers to rectify this disparity. The Ames Aeronautical Laboratory would study high-speed flight and the AERL

◀ Return to CONSTRUCTION main page

Next Sub-Topic: DESIGN OF THE AWT ▶

WORLD WAR II

● TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT


PROJECT MERCURY

● CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

◀ Return to CONSTRUCTION main page

Close Window X



CONSTRUCTION : DESIGN OF THE AWT

At Langley Raymond Edward Sharp led a group of approximately 30 engineers and draftsmen from the administrative section who created the Cleveland engine lab. Among this assemblage were smaller teams working on specific facilities. One of these led by Al Young and Larry Marcus designed the AWT's fan, exhaust and make-up air systems, as well as the Shop and Office Building and other tunnel support buildings.

The tunnel's shell and test section were designed at Ames by a group led by Carl Bioletti. This group included Walter Vincenti, John Macomber and draftsman Manfred Massa. The AWT's ability to simulate altitude with both pressure and temperatures made the shell's design more difficult than the pressure tunnels at Langley and Ames. Vincenti was unable to calculate that type of thermal stress for the AWT's support rings and shell. Vincenti consulted a former professor of his at Stanford, Stephen Timoshenko. Timoshenko, a leading structural analyst, developed some calculations to measure the stress levels. Vincenti sent the calculations and notes to the Cleveland design team.

Willis Carrier, who was referred to as the Father of Air Conditioning, heard of the NACA's plans for cooling the air inside its new wind tunnel. An effort of this size had never been undertaken before and

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[A Tunnel for Engines](#)

[Refrigeration System Design](#)

◀ Return to CONSTRUCTION main page

Next Sub-Topic: CONSTRUCTION OF THE AWT ▶

WORLD WAR II

● TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

● CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to CONSTRUCTION main page

Close Window X



CONSTRUCTION : CONSTRUCTION OF THE AWT

The United States would enter the war in Europe less than a year after the groundbreaking for the new engine lab, and there was pressure to accelerate the construction schedule. There were still no buildings completed in August 1941 when Ray Sharp arrived from Langley to assume the oversight of the construction. A large contingent of Langley personnel were transferred to Cleveland in December 1941 and were placed under Ernest Whitney and Beverly Gulick. The AWT Project Engineers used Gulick's draftsmen and designers to help design certain aspects of the tunnel.

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[Aircraft Engines for War](#)

[Before Pearl Harbor](#)

Return to CONSTRUCTION main page

Next Sub-Topic: OPERATION OF THE AWT

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to CONSTRUCTION main page

Close Window X



CONSTRUCTION : OPERATION OF THE AWT

The AWT was amongst the most sophisticated test facilities in the country when it came online in 1944. The basic layout of the tunnel shell was similar to other contemporary tunnels, but its altitude simulation and engine firing capabilities required a number of design innovations that made the tunnel unique. These included the powerful refrigeration system, air scoop, make-up air, and unique shell structure.

The airflow was created by a 12-bladed, 31-foot diameter fan that was spun by an 18000-horsepower induction motor. Speeds could reach 500 miles per hour at the pressure altitude on 30,000 feet. Turning vanes in each corner and a long tail fairing on the fan straightened the airflow. Because full-scale engines were operating in the tunnel, special efforts had to be undertaken to remove the engine's combustion products before they contaminated the air stream. A nacelle-like exhaust scoop was located just beyond the test section to ventilate the exhaust out of the tunnel. Two make-up air lines were located upstream of the test section to replenish the stream with cool, dry air. The exhaust pumps, located in the Exhauster Building, also evacuated the tunnel to pressure altitudes up to 50,000 feet.

A massive refrigeration system, powered by 14 Carrier compressors

VISUAL MEDIA



Return to CONSTRUCTION main page

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION


WORLD WAR II

CONSTRUCTION

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

2nd GENERATION JETS

1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957



1940 1945 1950 1955 1960 1965 1970 1975 1980 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005

- CONSTRUCTION

 - WORLD WAR II
 - TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT
- 2nd GENERATION JETS

 - PROJECT MERCURY
 - CONVERSION
- CENTAUR PROGRAM
- PROPOSED REHAB
- DEMOLITION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Close Window X



SUB-TOPICS

BELL YP-59A AIRACOMET

B-29 ENGINE COOLING

WARTIME SCHEDULE

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

XTB2D-1 Torpedo Bomber Test

YP-47M Propeller Blade Study

What Price Victory, 1941-45

Trouble-shooting: Wartime

WORLD WAR II

The Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory (AERL) was created by the NACA specifically to improve military aircraft engines for World War II. This was particularly true for the Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT), which was the most complete facility for testing of full-scale engines prior to actual production. On December 2, 1941 William Knudson, Director General of the War Department's Production Management Office, wrote the NACA, 'The high-altitude wind tunnel is especially needed to solve problems in connection with the cooling and power output of engines in combat planes required to fight at altitudes of 40,000 to 50,000 feet.'

World War II was the first war in which aircraft would play a dominant role. A new, more powerful type of engine, the turbojet, was being developed in Europe, but the U.S. wanted to strengthen their existing reciprocating engines. The AWT was used to improve several of these piston engines. The most important was the Wright R-3350 for the B-29 bomber, but others included a propeller test Republic YP-47, analysis of the Pratt & Whitney R-4360 engine in both the Lockheed's XR-60 Constitution and the Douglas XTBD2 torpedo bomber. Despite the NACA's focus on piston engines, though, the majority of the AWT's investigations concerned the emerging turbojet technology. In fact, its very first test was the Bell YP-59A which was powered by two General Electric I-16 jets.

CLICK SMALL THUMBNAIL IMAGES FOR AN ENLARGED PREVIEW AND FURTHER INFORMATION

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE. CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to WORLD WAR II main page

Close Window X



WORLD WAR II : BELL YP-59A AIRACOMET

British engineer Frank Whittle had developed his version of the jet engine as early as 1930 and operated it by 1934. Head of the US Air Command General Hap Arnold witnessed the first flight of the Whittle engine-powered Gloster E.28/39 on May 15, 1941 and made arrangements to secretly bring the Whittle design back to the States. Using these plans General Electric (GE) quickly created the I-A centrifugal flow engine. In October 1941 Bell Aircraft was given the responsibility to design an aircraft to incorporate the new US jet engine.

The YP-59 Airacomet aircraft, however, did not perform well with the jet engines. GE updated the engine and renamed it the I-16, which were incorporated into an Airacomet with an elongated fuselage, referred to as the YP-59A. The secret test flights were successful but underwhelming. The AWT would be complete by the end of the year, though. Arrangements were made for the Airacomet to be secretly brought to Cleveland and studied in the new facility.

The aircraft was tested in its original configuration and afterwards with redesigned boundary layer removal duct, nacelle inlets, and cooling air seals. The modifications allowed better distribution of airflow and reduced drag. Although this improved the aircraft's performance by 25-percent, the Airacomet never did play any

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[YP-59A Airacomet Test Report](#)

[The Case for Jet Propulsion](#)

Return to WORLD WAR II main page

Next Sub-Topic: B-29 ENGINE COOLING

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to WORLD WAR II main page

Close Window X



WORLD WAR II : B-29 ENGINE COOLING STUDIES

The military contracted with Boeing to develop a high-altitude daytime bomber that would supersede Boeing's B-19 Flying Fortress. Boeing devised the B-29 Superfortress powered by four Curtiss-Wright R-3350 2200 horsepower gas turbine engines. Although development of the R-3350s began in the mid-1930s the engines continued to be plagued with problems when incorporated into the B-29 design in 1942. Despite this and many other problems with the aircraft itself, the Superfortresses were rushed through production. One of the most serious problems encountered by US airmen was the overheating and burning up of the R-3350s when the B-29 climbed to the high-altitudes at which it was intended to operate.

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

- [Cooling Data for B-29 Engines](#)
- [B-29 Engine Cowl Design Report](#)
- [B-29 Engine Cooling Report](#)

The Air Command summoned the new AERL to investigate and solve this problem. Many of the AERL's facilities studied the engines and flight tests were conducted with an actual B-29. In May 1944, a R-3350 was installed in the AWT. Researchers determined the cooling problems stemmed from inconsistent fuel mixtures and poor air flow through the engine. By devising a fuel injection system and redirecting the air flow through the engine's cylinders the AERL researchers were also able to broaden the B-29s flight range and increase its armament capabilities by increasing the fuel efficiency by 18-percent.

Return to WORLD WAR II main page

Next Sub-Topic: WARTIME SCHEDULE

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to WORLD WAR II main page

Close Window X



WORLD WAR II : WARTIME SCHEDULE

The pressure on the staff at the AERL to remedy the engine performance problems on military aircraft was tremendous during the last couple years of the war. Over 92-percent of the Altitude Wind Tunnel and Icing Research Tunnel's tests during the war years were for the Army or Navy. After coming online in February 1944, the AWT crews worked 24 hours a day seven days a week. This strain was exacerbated by a manpower shortage. Although measures were taken to retain NACA employees, a large number were drafted into the Armed Forces. Initially workers were paid overtime for extra hours, but this was rescinded by 1943.

VISUAL MEDIA



Because of its tremendous power requirements, NACA officials had made a deal with the Cleveland electric company to run the AWT only at night. The first and second shifts general broke down the previous night's setup and prepared for that night's test run. The third shift would come in to run the tunnel and carry out the test. The engineers or researchers often had to work all day then return at night to conduct the tests. Eventually some crew chiefs were trained to run the tunnel. On June 20, 1944 AWT employees were divided into four groups that covered two shifts, 3PM to 1:30AM and 11PM to 7AM.

The operation of the AWT required a large well-coordinated team.

Return to WORLD WAR II main page


WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION



CONSTRUCTION
 WORLD WAR II
 TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

2nd GENERATION JETS
 PROJECT MERCURY
 CONVERSION

CENTAUR PROGRAM

PROPOSED REHAB

DEMOLITION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Close Window X



SUB-TOPICS

CENTRIFUGAL TURBOJETS

AXIAL-FLOW TURBOJETS

TURBOPROPS

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[Propulsion Systems Comparison](#)
[NACA Lewis Afterburner Research](#)
[Effect of Tailpipe Burners](#)

TURBOJETS

Many in NACA thought the gas turbine engine was not a viable alternative to the well-developed reciprocating engine. It was thought that the weight of the turbine's components would exceed the aircraft's capabilities and require too much fuel. Although, this was initially a realistic assessment, as the turbojet was perfected from the late 1940s and throughout the 1950s, these shortcomings were overcome. The jet engine's speeds, ability to use a wide variety of fuels, the eradication of the propeller, and clearly superior high-speed performance left no doubt of the turbojet's importance to aeronautics.

The first jet engines had centrifugal compressors. General Electric (GE) developed several variations including the I-40 which was used in the nation's first homegrown jet aircraft, the YP-80A Shooting Star. Simultaneously was the development of axial-flow turbojets by the Westinghouse Corporation and GE's Schenectady group. The axial-flow designs would be the basis for the modern jet engine. At the same time, a variation of the gas turbine that included a propeller was being explored. The Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) was used to study and improve all three variations of the turbojet in their early stages.

In October 1945, shortly after the end of World War II, the entire

CLICK SMALL THUMBNAIL IMAGES FOR AN ENLARGED PREVIEW AND FURTHER INFORMATION

WORLD WAR II

● TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

● CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to **TURBOJETS** main page

Close Window X



VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[GE I-40 Engine Windmilling Study](#)

[GE I-40 Engine Compressor Study](#)

TURBOJETS : CENTRIFUGAL TURBOJETS

Since the 1920s General Electric had made significant strides developing the gas turbine engine for a variety of uses and had been the prime developer of the turbocharger. For this reason, General Hap Arnold selected GE to build the I-A engine based on Frank Whittle's drawings. The I-A was troubled, but the team at the GE plant in West Lynn, Massachusetts improved the design with the subsequent I-16 engine. Though this eventually resulted in several Airacomet flights, it was not until the engine's next reincarnation as the 4000-pound thrust I-40 that the jet engine's promise was revealed.

After the disappointment of Bell's XP-59A, the Air Forces contracted with Lockheed in 1943 to design a new jet fighter. Lockheed's YP-80A Shooting Star was the first complete jet aircraft manufactured in the United States and was the first Air Force aircraft to fly faster than 500 mph. The I-40 underwent its first run in early 1944 and was almost immediately incorporated in the Shooting Star. The aircraft suffered operational problems and crashes during early test flights.

In spring of 1945 Shooting Star with its I-40 was tested in the AWT. These runs were followed up with tests of the I-40 in Lockheed's two-seated version of the Shooting Star, the TP80S. The

Return to **TURBOJETS** main page

Next Sub-Topic: **AXIAL-FLOW TURBOJETS**

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Close Window X



SUB-TOPICS

CENTRIFUGAL TURBOJETS

AXIAL-FLOW TURBOJETS

TURBOPROPS

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

Propulsion Systems Comparison

NACA Lewis Afterburner Research

Effect of Tailpipe Burners

TURBOJETS

Many in NACA thought the gas turbine engine was not a viable alternative to the well-developed reciprocating engine. It was thought that the weight of the turbine's components would exceed the aircraft's capabilities and require too much fuel. Although, this was initially a realistic assessment, as the turbojet was perfected from the late 1940s and throughout the 1950s, these shortcomings were overcome. The jet engine's speeds, ability to use a wide variety of fuels, the eradication of the propeller, and clearly superior high-speed performance left no doubt of the turbojet's importance to aeronautics.

The first jet engines had centrifugal compressors. General Electric (GE) developed several variations including the I-40 which was used in the nation's first homegrown jet aircraft, the YP-80A Shooting Star. Simultaneously was the development of axial-flow turbojets by the Westinghouse Corporation and GE's Schenectady group. The axial-flow designs would be the basis for the modern jet engine. At the same time, a variation of the gas turbine that included a propeller was being explored. The Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) was used to study and improve all three variations of the turbojet in their early stages.

In October 1945, shortly after the end of World War II, the entire

CLICK SMALL THUMBNAIL IMAGES FOR AN ENLARGED PREVIEW AND FURTHER INFORMATION


● **TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT**

● **CONVERSION**

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

◀ Return to **TURBOJETS** main page

Close Window X



— VISUAL MEDIA



— INTERNET RESOURCES

- GE TG-100A Performance Study
- GE TG-100A Turboprop Study
- Armstrong-Syddley Python Study
- Python Turboprop Dynamics

◀ Return to **TURBOJETS** main page

TURBOJETS : TURBOPROPS

The turboprop engine, which used a jet engine to spin a propeller, was one of the earliest schemes to use the gas turbine for aircraft propulsion. This technology would be successfully resurrected in the 1980s for NASA Lewis' Advanced Turboprop Program.

General Electric began development of the first US turboprop in 1941 at the request of the Army, but this TG-100 was not successfully flight tested until December 1945. In late 1946 the TG0-100A was given a thorough analysis in the Altitude Wind Tunnel. Basic engine performance information was gathered and determined over a range of altitudes. Problems with the engine continued, however, and the program was cancelled in 1947 before any being incorporated into any production aircraft.

In 1949, a British Armstrong-Siddeley turboprop was acquired by the NACA specifically for its researchers to study. A frequency-response method was used to predict the dynamic response characteristics at any altitude from the data obtained from any other specific altitude. The dynamic response of the propeller and propeller/engine pairing was obtained during a single test run. The Wyvern torpedo bomber which used the Python had already flown at the time and would be used by the Royal Air Force throughout the 1950s.



● WORLD WAR II

● TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

● PROJECT MERCURY

● CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION



USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Close Window X




SUB-TOPICS

PRATT & WHITNEY J-57

RAMJETS

LIQUID HYDROGEN AIRCRAFT

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

Altitude performance: J71-A-11

Altitude characteristics: J40-WE-8

Operational characteristics: RA-14

SECOND GENERATION JETS

Although the US aeronautics community lagged behind its European counterparts in the years leading up to World War II, by the late 1940s it had taken the lead. A new generation of larger, more powerful jet engines emerged. The Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) was upgraded several times during the 1940s and 1950s to keep up with the ever-growing engines. A massive improvement was undertaken in 1951 that included new exhausters, a new fan, a water pump house, and a tie-in to the Propulsion Systems Laboratory exhausters. Although not originally constructed for the jet engine, the AWT kept up with the changes.

In the 1940s and 1950s the development of ramjets for missile applications was at the forefront of aerospace research. Ramjets are perhaps the simplest type of propulsion system, but their implementation poses many challenges. Beginning as early as May 1945, ramjets were being studied on a regular basis in the AWT. By the late 1950s, several small rocket engines were also being investigated in the facility.

The Westinghouse J34 and J40, Allison's J71, Pratt & Whitney's J57, and the Rolls Royce Avon engines all underwent investigations in the revamped tunnel. Perhaps the most important accomplishment was a test of a liquid-hydrogen tanking system on a Wright J65 engine.

CLICK SMALL THUMBNAIL IMAGES FOR AN ENLARGED PREVIEW AND FURTHER INFORMATION

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE. CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to **SECOND GENERATION JETS** main page

Close Window X



VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

- Performance of 20-inch Ramjet
- Bumblebee 18-inch Ramjet
- Combustion in 16-inch Ramjet

SECOND GENERATION JETS: RAMJETS

Like other types of engines, the ramjet is powered by combustion gases which are heated to high temperatures under pressure then exhausted. Unlike other engines, though, the ramjet is an open-ended tube with no moving parts, only a flameholder vane which makes sure the combustion flame is not extinguished. Another difference is that the ramjet runs at constant pressure instead of a constant volume like the piston engine. Combustion gases flow through the ramjet's nozzle and are accelerated until they are faster than the engine's intake air thus providing thrust. The ramjet's power is a measure of this thrust multiplied by the speed during flight.

The overall performance of a 20-inch diameter NACA-designed ramjet was studied in the AWT during 1945 and 1946. It was mounted on a traditional wingspan with the intake air piped directly to its inlet. This allowed the ram pressure ratio to be comparable to supersonic speeds while running in the subsonic AWT. The tests at altitudes up 47,000 feet found the performance increased by sharply with supersonic speeds but that losses in the supersonic diffuser slowed the rate of increase at higher Mach numbers.

Initially conceived by the Navy in late 1944, the missile known as Project Bumblebee was designed to use ramjet propulsion. The war

Return to **SECOND GENERATION JETS** main page

Next Sub-Topic: **LIQUID HYDROGEN AIRCRAFT**

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT


PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE. CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to **SECOND GENERATION JETS** main page

Close Window X



VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

- Hydrogen for High Alt. Aircraft
- J65-B-3 Investigation
- Liquid Hydrogen as a Jet Fuel

SECOND GENERATION JETS : LIQUID HYDROGEN AIRCRAFT

Lewis researchers had been studying high-energy propellants for years. In the mid-1950s interest in liquid hydrogen intensified. The cryogenic propellant was considered dangerous, but its low weight and high-energy were unrivalled. Although it would go on to be a principal component of the space program, Abe Silverstein, Director of Research at the lab, initially conceived of it as propellant for long-range aircraft. One of the early steps was determining if liquid hydrogen could be safely operated in an aircraft fuel system. In 1955 full-system tests of a liquid hydrogen fuel system with the J65-B-3 engine were conducted in the AWT. The system, which was identical to the one intended for use on a B-57 aircraft, was checked using both the jet fuel and hydrogen modes.

A couple of modifications allowed the engine to be tested at higher pressure levels and thus 25 to 30, 000 feet higher altitudes than previous AWT tests. Unlike previous turbojet studies in the AWT, which used external make-up air, this test used tunnel air. This resulted in the exhauster having to only make up for tunnel leakage, rather than leakage plus external air flow. It was found that the jet fuel performance decreased significantly over 60,000 feet, while the hydrogen operated smoothly at at least 80,000 feet, and its blowout altitude exceeded the tunnel's 85,000-foot capabilities. It was also found that the higher specific heat of hydrogen caused the turbine to

Return to **SECOND GENERATION JETS** main page


WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION



USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE ▶ CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Close Window X



SUB-TOPICS

[MULTI AXIS SPACE FACILITY](#)

[RETROROCKETS](#)

[ESCAPE ROCKET TESTS](#)

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[Big Joe Flight Test Results](#)

[Project Mercury: A Chronology](#)

[This New Ocean](#)

[KSC Mercury Webpage](#)

PROJECT MERCURY

New propulsion test facilities were built in the 1950s at NACA Lewis, and by the end of the decade the Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) became used less. This coincided with the nation's new fascination with space travel in the wake of Sputnik I. Abe Silverstein was called to Headquarters to help develop a new US space agency. Silverstein and NACA Director Hugh Dryden convinced Congress that the new agency should be based on the NACA. On October 1, 1958 the National Aeronautics and Space Administration was formally founded. Silverstein remained in Washington as part of the Space Task Group (STG) which developed the incipient space program.

Big Joe, a mockup capsule without an escape tower, life support, or several other systems, was to be launched on an Atlas booster from Cape Canaveral. The flight was to simulate the reentry of the capsule without actually placing it in orbit and test the launch and recovery processes. Originally the Space Task Group wanted to qualify the Big Joe capsule's retrorockets, instrumentation, parachute and recovery systems by dropping it from a balloon near the edge of the atmosphere. By May 1959 it was decided to instead qualify Big Joe in the Altitude Wind Tunnel. The tunnel was used to fire the attitude control and retrorockets and expose the capsule to simulated altitudes up to 80,000 for long periods of time. This sped

CLICK SMALL THUMBNAIL IMAGES FOR AN ENLARGED PREVIEW AND FURTHER INFORMATION

WORLD WAR II

● TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

● CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE. CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to PROJECT MERCURY main page

Close Window X



PROJECT MERCURY : MULTI-AXIS SPACE TEST INERTIAL FACILITY

A gimbaling platform had been set up in the AWT to test systems components for the Big Joe capsule. Afterwards it was decided to expand this piece of equipment to include a pilot's chair and nitrogen jet control system. The new Mercury 7 astronauts would practice bringing a tumbling out-of-control spacecraft under control.

In February and March 1960, the seven Mercury Program astronauts, a female astronaut candidate, and several pilots traveled to Lewis to train on the Multi Axis Space Test Inertial Facility, or MASTIF. An astronaut was secured in a foam couch in the center of the rig. The rig then spun on three axis from two to fifty rotations per minute. The pilots were tested on each of the three axis individually, then all three simultaneously. Small nitrogen gas thrusters were used by the astronauts to bring the MASTIF under control.

Early tests revealed that astronauts responded well to disorientation on any one axis, but along two or more axis, the pilot's response time was slow. The initial tests spun the pilots at 20rpm and later increased to 50rpm. The MASTIF tests determined that up to 70rpm had no 'measurable influence' on the astronauts' operation of the rig. At that speed, they were also able to perform complex tasks with just a 6.5 to 18-percent error rate while operating the MASTIF

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[Pilot Reaction to Fast Rotation](#)

[Seven Astronauts in Training](#)

Return to PROJECT MERCURY main page

Next Sub-Topic: RETROROCKETS

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to PROJECT MERCURY main page

Close Window X



PROJECT MERCURY : RETOROCKETS

The Mercury capsule had six rockets on a "retro-package" affixed to the bottom of the capsule. Three of these were posigrade rockets used to separate the capsule from the booster, and three were retrograde rockets used to slow the capsule for reentry into the earth's atmosphere. There were several problems while qualifying the posigrade and retrograde rockets, and there was no backup system if the retrograde braking system failed. The STG assigned the Lewis and Ames centers the task of resolving the issue.

Full-scale separation tests using both Redstone and Atlas boosters were conducted in the AWT at altitudes comparable to the upper atmosphere. The capsule was affixed to the horizontal simulated boosters. The AWT tests in January and February 1960 determined that a gas build-up in the Redstone ballast section actually accelerated the separation process. Mercury Atlas separation tests in mid-April ensured that the firing of the posigrade rockets did not injure any other components and determined the actual boost level of the posigrades.

Three Mercury retrorocket qualifications tests were also begun in April 1960 in the AWT. A retrograde thrust stand was erected in the southwest corner of the tunnel. The studies showed that a previous issue concerning delays igniting the propellant had been resolved.

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[Project Mercury Manual: 1961](#)

[Project Mercury Manual: 1959](#)

[The Mercury-Redstone Project](#)

Return to PROJECT MERCURY main page

Next Sub-Topic: ESCAPE ROCKET TESTS

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to PROJECT MERCURY main page

Close Window X



VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

Project Mercury Manual: 1961

Project Mercury Manual: 1959

PROJECT MERCURY : ESCAPE ROCKET TESTS

The AWT was also used to determine if the plume from the Mercury capsule escape tower rocket would shroud the spacecraft. The escape tower was a steel rig attached to the nose of the Mercury capsule. The tower had its own propulsion system which could be used to jettison the astronaut to safety in the event of launch vehicle malfunction at any point between prelaunch and the separation. Once the capsule reached its apex of about 2500 feet, the escape tower was jettisoned and the capsule parachuted into the sea.

Qualification tests of the escape tower rocket were conducted in early summer of 1960. The tower and model Mercury capsule were mounted on a make-up air pipe near the southwest corner of the AWT. Three escape-rocket motors were successfully fired at conditions corresponding to approximately 100,000 feet altitude. One motor was tested on a four-component balance system to determine thrust misalignment of the rocket motor. According to test results, the rocket motor appeared to meet operational requirements.

Return to PROJECT MERCURY main page

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT


PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

MERCURY CONVERSION CENTAUR PROGRAM

9 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975



• 1940 • 1945 • 1950 • 1955 • 1960 • 1965 • 1970 • 1975 • 1980 • 1985 • 1990 • 1995 • 2000 • 2005 •

- CONSTRUCTION
● WORLD WAR II
● TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT
- 2nd GENERATION JETS
● PROJECT MERCURY
● CONVERSION
- CENTAUR PROGRAM
- PROPOSED REHAB
- DEMOLITION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Close Window X



RELATED PROJECTS

CONSTRUCTION

CENTAUR PROGRAM

CENTAUR 6A TEST SETUP

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

Survey of Large Space Chambers

Aspects of Space Simulation

Space Environment Test Chamber

CONVERSION

After the Mercury tests concluded near the end of May 1960, it was decided to construct two test chambers within the Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) shell—one capable of simulating the altitudes of outer space, the other of earth's upper atmosphere. At the time there were no large vacuum tanks in the U.S. Initial missions in the late 1950s revealed the behavior of engines, flight systems, and hardware was affected by the conditions encountered in space. The space chamber was originally intended to study a full-scale SNAP-8 nuclear space power conversion system.

Construction began in early summer of 1961 and was completed in a little over a year. Bulkheads were placed within the tunnel to seal off the new chambers, the exterior shell of the east end was rewelded, and a Vacuum Pump House was built underneath the space tank. The facility was officially renamed the Space Power Chambers (SPC) on September 12, 1962. Although larger chambers would later be constructed, the rapid conversion of the AWT allowed the SPC to play an important role in the early years of the space program.

The legacy of the SPC was its contribution to the success of the Centaur rocket. Centaur was, in turn, NASA Lewis' most enduring contribution to the space program. In October 1962, just a month

CLICK SMALL THUMBNAIL IMAGES FOR AN ENLARGED PREVIEW AND FURTHER INFORMATION

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to **CONVERSION** main page

Close Window X



VISUAL MEDIA



CONVERSION : CONSTRUCTION

Construction of the SPC began sometime prior to July 1961 and progressed rapidly. The clamshell lid for the former tunnel test section was removed and a metal bridge and stairway into the tunnel were built at the east end of the test section. Steel grated flooring was installed in the bottom of the test section. A control room for the vacuum chamber, which sought to replicate the launch control room at Cape Canaveral, was created underneath the former tunnel test section. The existing wind tunnel control room was modified to run the tests in the large chamber.

The tunnel's drive shaft, fan, turning vanes, and exhaust scoop were removed from the east end. Three bulkheads were installed inside the tunnel to create the two chambers. The largest was the 31-foot diameter cap inserted where the drive fan was located. Another seal was created east end of the former test section which completed the sealing off the entire eastern leg of the tunnel, which was referred to as SPC No. 1. Another 20-foot diameter bulkhead was inserted on the western end of the test section before the throat section. This along with the 31-foot seal in the southeast corner created another vacuum test chamber, SPC No. 2 in the remainder of the tunnel.

A new oil diffusion-based pumping system was installed in the new Vacuum Pump House underneath SPC No. 1. The ten diffusion

Return to **CONVERSION** main page

Next Sub-Topic: **CENTAUR PROGRAM**

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

◀ Return to **CONVERSION** main page

Close Window X



CONVERSION : CENTAUR PROGRAM

The Centaur rocket was created by General Dynamics for the Department of Defense in 1958. The program was shifted to NASA's Marshall Space Flight Center on November 8, 1959. A Space Task Group committee, chaired by Abe Silverstein, selected a second-stage rocket for the new Atlas booster. Centaur, with its controversial liquid hydrogen propellant and complex design was selected.

The Centaur rocket contained two 15,000-pound thrust engines fueled by the high-energy liquid hydrogen. Unique balloon-like tanks with only a thin boundary separating them were used to store the liquid hydrogen and its liquid oxygen oxidizer. One of the most dynamic aspects of the Centaur was its ability to start and restart its engines in space. This allowed for adjustments of speed and direction. After the final burn, the Centaur separated from the third-stage and fired retro rockets to redirect it back towards earth.

The first Atlas/Centaur launch on May 8, 1962 had a number of defects. It failed shortly after lift-off due to a Centaur malfunction. Marshall had never felt comfortable with Centaur's non-traditional design, and the Centaur Program was on the verge of cancellation. Von Braun felt strongly that liquid oxygen and kerosene should be used for all stages of the Saturn rocket.

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[Rocket Propulsion Research](#)

[Taming Liquid Hydrogen](#)

◀ Return to **CONVERSION** main page

Next Sub-Topic: **CENTAUR 6A TEST SETUP** ▶

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE ▶ CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

◀ Return to **CONVERSION** main page

Close Window X



CONVERSION : CENTAUR 6A TEST SETUP

The intensive testing of the Centaur was divided between the 'E' Stand at Plum Brook Station and the SPC facility. The high-vacuum SPC No. 1 was originally envisioned to test space power devices like the SNAP-8 nuclear generator. With the acquisition of the Centaur Program, however, it was decided to use the tank to study the electronics behavior a full-scale Centaur in a space environment. A number of modifications were made to SPC No. 1 to accommodate Centaur. The most prominent of which was the addition of a 22.5-foot diameter cylindrical extension with a detachable dome near the southeast corner so that the rocket could be stood up vertically.

The rocket used for the tests was a Centaur 6A model originally intended for the follow-up to the Atlas/Centaur-2 flight. A launch pad rehab delayed the launch, and the Centaur was instead removed and transported to Cleveland. The 28.5-foot long and 10-foot diameter Centaur 6A had two Pratt & Whitney RL-10 engines. The electronics and control systems were at the forward section of the rocket so access ports were created in the dome. The Centaur rested in an approximately 9-foot tall triangular stand that sat on the chamber floor below the dome.

Besides creating a vacuum equal to 100 miles altitude, a complex set-up was installed in SPC No. 1 to replicate all aspects of outer

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

Centaur Flight Simulation Tests

Full-scale Centaur Test Report

◀ Return to **CONVERSION** main page

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT


PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

VISION | CENTAUR PROGRAM

1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978



1940 1945 1950 1955 1960 1965 1970 1975 1980 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005

- CONSTRUCTION
- WORLD WAR II
- TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT
- 2nd GENERATION JETS
- PROJECT MERCURY
- CONVERSION
- CENTAUR PROGRAM
- PROPOSED REHAB
- DEMOLITION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE ▶ CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Close Window X



CENTAUR

When the Centaur Program was brought to Cleveland in October 1962 the rocket was in poor shape. Its novel design was troublesome, and its only launch attempt ended in a fiery explosion. Abe Silverstein had been Lewis Center Director for almost a year, and had already begun implementing a broad space program in Cleveland. Silverstein felt strongly that anything flown in space should be tested thoroughly first on the ground in the conditions and configuration of the mission.

SUB-TOPICS

- CENTAUR SYSTEM TESTS** ●
- ATLAS/CENTAUR RETROROCKETS** ●
- SURVEYOR NOSE CONES** ●
- DAO SHROUDS** ●
- HYDROGEN VENTING TESTS** ●

Although several Lewis facilities participated on smaller components of the program, the primary Centaur testing was divided between the dynamic and vibration studies of the Atlas/Centaur in the E Stand at Plum Brook Station and environmental and shroud jettison tests in the new Space Power Chambers (SPC) facility created in the former Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT).

VISUAL MEDIA



The facility's vacuum tank, SPC No. 1, was used to soak a full-size Centaur in a space environment for extended periods of time to verify the performance of its electronics systems. A series of shroud jettison tests were also conducted in SPC No. 1 for two of the Surveyor developmental launches in 1964 and 1965. The facility's first investigations, however, were a series of Atlas/Centaur separation tests during the fall of 1963 in the larger SPC No. 2. SPC

CLICK SMALL THUMBNAIL IMAGES FOR AN ENLARGED PREVIEW AND FURTHER INFORMATION

● WORLD WAR II

● TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

● PROJECT MERCURY

● CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to CENTAUR main page

Close Window X



VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

- [Centaur Flight Simulation Tests](#)
- [Full-scale Environmental Tests](#)
- [Centaur Static Inverter Failure](#)

CENTAUR : CENTAUR SYSTEM TESTS

It had already been determined that space flight systems and hardware behaved differently in space than in an earth environment. It was decided to study the behavior of electronics systems on a full-scale Centaur in the simulated space atmosphere of the SPC No. 1. In October 1963 a Centaur 6A rocket was flown to Cleveland on a C-130 aircraft. For several months General Dynamics personnel worked with NASA Lewis researchers as they studied and began reassembling the rocket in shop area. On March 19, 1964 a 100-foot crane was used to lift the Centaur through the opened dome and into SPC No. 1.

SPC No. 1 possessed a cryogenic cold wall and quartz lamps to replicate both the coldness and solar radiation of space, and the vacuum system created an altitude of 100 miles. The Centaur vehicle utilized a number of systems including autopilot, guidance, main propulsion, hydraulic, hydrogen peroxide supply, boost-pump attitude control, telemetry, tracking, range safety, and pneumatic systems. These were tested in SPC No. 1 under flight conditions except the firing of the rockets. The initial three minute Atlas booster phase was followed by activation of the Centaur systems for separation, including the engine ignition systems. The simulated firing was followed by a 25-minute coast phase. A second engine activation was followed by simulated payload separation, course

Return to CENTAUR main page

Next Sub-Topic: ATLAS/CENTAUR RETROROCKETS

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to CENTAUR main page

Close Window X



CENTAUR : ATLAS-CENTAUR RETROROCKETS

The SPC's first studies analyzed the Atlas/Centaur jettison system. It was found that the retrorockets which separated the Centaur from the Atlas booster behaved inconsistently. A version of the Atlas/Centaur vehicle was hung horizontally on a trolley system inside SPC No. 2. The model was constructed in a whale-bone configuration which simulated the mass properties of a full-size Atlas/Centaur without using actual rockets.

The first set of tests in the fall of 1963 verified the poor performance of the Rocket Power, Inc. retrorockets. Lighters manufactured by Thiokol, Librascope, and Ordnance Associates were tested in the retrorockets at 98,000 feet altitude. Foam panels were also placed behind the retrorockets to record their flame disbursement. It was determined that the original lighters were often manufactured out of spec resulting in the unpredictable firing with the propellant grain sometimes not even igniting.

Rocket Power, Inc. took the results and created their own lighter which included a longer flame with which to light the propellant grain. The Atlas/Centaur configuration with the new lighters was tested again in spring of 1964 in SPC No. 2. It was determined that the retrorockets with several variations behaved well at 100,000 feet. The researchers were also able to configure the retrorockets in

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

[Atlas/Centaur Retrorocket Tests](#)

[Ignitor for Atlas/Centaur](#)

Return to CENTAUR main page

Next Sub-Topic: SURVEYOR NOSE CONES

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to CENTAUR main page

Close Window X



CENTAUR : SURVEYOR NOSE CONES

After a year of modifications and testing at Lewis, the Centaur's second launch from Cape Canaveral was successful. The third launch almost failed, though, due to a brief disruption of the guidance system during the jettisoning of the nose cone. The Centaur's nose cone had been tested repeatedly prior to the mission in ambient conditions by the manufacturer, but it was becoming apparent that space flight hardware behaved differently in a space environment. The fourth, Atlas/Centaur-4, was intended to insert a mockup Surveyor spacecraft in orbit, and there was tremendous pressure to keep the program on schedule.

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

- [Atlas/Centaur-4 Surveyor Faring](#)
- [Surveyor Nose Cone Mode Survey](#)
- [Atlas/Centaur-6 Surveyor Faring](#)

It was decided to verify that the separation system worked by testing it repeatedly in Space Power Chamber No. 1's 100 mile altitude space tank. The shroud was mounted on a platform with hinges at the lower end to keep the split halves fixed to the platform. Catcher pads were installed to grab the tops of the jettisoned fairing. During the first test at 70,000 feet altitude, however, the tips of both halves of the fairing were broken off. The team regrouped and obtained a new shroud, and redesigned the bulkhead using aluminum channels and a new attachment fixture. One of the catchers was replaced by a net, and the setup was moved away from the Centaur rocket setup for the systems testing. The nose cone tests continued through the fall of 1964 with

Return to CENTAUR main page

Next Sub-Topic: OAO SHROUDS

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT


PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

◀ Return to CENTAUR main page


Close Window X



CENTAUR : ORBITING ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATORY SHROUDS

The Orbiting Astronomical Observatory (OAO) satellites were designed by Goddard Space Flight Center to study and retrieve ultraviolet data on stars and galaxies which earthbound and atmospheric telescopes could not view due to ozone absorption. It was hoped that this ultraviolet radiation would help researchers date stars. Due to the atmosphere's filtering, this not possible from earth. The telescopes required a large stable platform so that the telescope could focus for long periods of time on dim objects. Their shrouds were much larger than the Surveyor nose cones. SPC No. 2 was used to test the shroud separation system for several OAO missions.

VISUAL MEDIA



OAO-1 was the heaviest payload to date carried by the Atlas/Agena-D launch vehicle. The vehicle's shroud was successfully qualified in SPC No. 2 at altitudes of 20 miles during the summer of 1965. The shroud was set up on a mockup Agena adaptor. A large nylon net was stretched horizontally 11 feet above the chamber floor to catch the one half of the fairing that was ejected during the tests. Although the shroud performed well during the April 9, 1966 launch, the satellite failed early in the mission.

INTERNET RESOURCES

- Atlas/Centaur-16 Flight Report
- OAO-C Shroud Qualification
- Observatory Satellites

The payload for OAO-2 was redistributed due to problems encountered during the OAO-1 launch. OAO-2 would be launched on

◀ Return to CENTAUR main page

Next Sub-Topic: HYDROGEN VENTING TESTS ▶

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to CENTAUR main page

Close Window X



CENTAUR : HYDROGEN VENTING TESTS

The liquid-hydrogen propellant used by Centaur provided a very high thrust/weight ratio, but it also posed a number of technical difficulties. The tanks required vents because the cryogenic liquid-hydrogen vaporized at an extremely low temperature. The second burn of the Centaur's engines also required that the remainder of the propellant be stabilized inside the tank so that it did not slosh when the first burn was completed. In addition, solar radiation caused the liquid-hydrogen to boil off in a gas form. These gases had to be vented from the tank. The Atlas/Centaur-4 and Atlas/Centaur-8 missions were designed to study the propellant's behavior.

VISUAL MEDIA



When the first engine burn ended on Atlas/Centaur-4, the liquid-hydrogen sloshed forward resulting in the venting of some of the hydrogen in liquid form rather than gas. The propellant's motion and resulting inability to maintain the vehicle's balance during venting skewed the Centaur's trajectory causing additional spillage of the liquid propellant and tumbling. Approximately 90-percent of the liquid-hydrogen was lost. The engines could not be restarted for the second burn and the mission ended.

INTERNET RESOURCES

Managing Cryogenic Propellants

AC-4 Propellant Behavior

Propellant System for AC8

A new balanced venting system was developed for the Atlas/Centaur-8 mission that expelled off-gasses in an even and

Return to CENTAUR main page

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

PROPOSED REHAB

978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 19



• 1940 • 1945 • 1950 • 1955 • 1960 • 1965 • 1970 • 1975 • 1980 • 1985 • 1990 • 1995 • 2000 • 2005 •

- CONSTRUCTION
- WORLD WAR II
- TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT
- 2nd GENERATION JETS
- PROJECT MERCURY
- CONVERSION
- CENTAUR PROGRAM
- PROPOSED REHAB
- DEMOLITION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Close Window X

978

19



— SUB-TOPICS

REHABILITATION PROPOSAL

MICROWAVE SYSTEMS LAB

— VISUAL MEDIA



— INTERNET RESOURCES

Centaur Equipment Module Test

Electric Automobile Study

Advanced Icing Research

PROPOSED REHABILITATION

The Centaur Program had survived the agency cutbacks that battered NASA Lewis in the 1970s, but use of the Space Power Chambers (SPC) diminished. The Space Power Facility (SPF) at Plum Brook Station, which began operating in 1970, was the largest vacuum tank in the world. Structural tests in spring 1975 of the Centaur equipment module for a series of OEAH missions were the last runs in SPC No. 2. SPC No. 1 had not been used in years by that point.

In 1976, Center Director Bruce Lundin requested a study restoring the facility as a wind tunnel for VSTOL studies. The \$39 million proposal was not acted upon. By the early 1980s, NASA Lewis seemed to have weathered the budgetary storm. In the early 1980s a number of large facilities that were mothballed in the 1970s were being restored. These included SPF, the Electrical Propulsion Lab, the 10 by-10-Foot Supersonic Wind Tunnel, and the Icing Research Tunnel. There was renewed interest in restoring the Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) for icing and VSTOL studies. In the summer of 1980 an AWT Project Office was formed and an extensive feasibility study was undertaken. Although there was a strong case made by the Project Office, the proposed restoration of the tunnel was cancelled by Congress in 1985.

CLICK SMALL THUMBNAIL IMAGES FOR AN ENLARGED PREVIEW AND FURTHER INFORMATION

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT


PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Return to PROPOSED REHABILITATION main page

Close Window X



PROPOSED REHABILITATION : THE PROPOSAL

In 1981 Sverdrup Corporation was hired to conduct an extensive Preliminary Engineering Report to explore the costs and options for remodeling the SPC for use once again as a wind tunnel. Sverdrup delivered cost estimates and a feasibility study for future use of existing AWT structures. It was determined that the existing infrastructure was robust enough for the new upgraded tunnel. An AWT Project Office was established to oversee the proposed tunnel rehabilitation. Since the tunnel's internal elements had been removed during the creation of the SPC, a new test section, heat exchanger, two-stage fan system, exhaust scoop, and set of turning vanes would have to be installed.

VISUAL MEDIA



A Congressional Advisory Committee on Aeronautics Assessment cancelled the rehabilitation in March 1985. The AWT Project had consumed a substantial amount of personnel and financial resources, and it appeared that the actual rehabilitation of the tunnel would exceed the \$160 million already proposed. The committee also questioned the AWT's predicted capabilities and suggested that the research needs could be met by existing wind tunnels.

INTERNET RESOURCES

- New Turning Vane Design Study
- Wind Tunnel Modeling Seminar
- Wind Tunnel Icing Flow Study
- Proposed Use for Icing Studies

Return to PROPOSED REHABILITATION main page

Next Sub-Topic: MICROWAVE SYSTEMS LAB

WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT


PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION


USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE ▶ CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

◀ Return to PROPOSED REHABILITATION main page

Close Window X



VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

- Antenna Facilities at NASA Glenn
- TRW Multibeam Antenna Test
- Inflatable Membrane Antennae

PROPOSED REHABILITATION : MICROWAVE SYSTEMS LABORATORY

In 1982 various areas in the Altitude Wind Tunnel's (AWT) Shop and Office Building were converted into a facility to test large antennas for the Applied Radio Frequency Branch of the Communications Division. Eventually this new Microwave Systems Laboratory would incorporate four antenna ranges.

The largest range, the Planar Near-Field facility was created in the high-bay. The bay was narrow, but its 40-foot high walls were a perfect location to study these large antennas. The walls were covered with row after row of anechoic foam pyramids that absorbed any microwave rays that escaped the antenna. In this setting, researchers could scan a 22 by-22-foot area from just a few thousandths of an inch away. This facility was used to test new sophisticated higher frequency space communications antennas and antennas for the Advanced Communications Technology Satellite. The ability to study these large antennas at such a close distance allowed the researchers to extrapolate the data of the antenna beam's behavior when connecting to orbiting communications satellites. The only other alternative for this testing would require miles of distance between the antenna and probe.

A smaller Far-Field facility was created in the former SPC control room underneath the tunnel's test section. This range is used to

◀ Return to PROPOSED REHABILITATION main page

WORLD WAR II

▶ TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT MERCURY

▶ CONVERSION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

DEMOLITION

1 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017



• 1940 • 1945 • 1950 • 1955 • 1960 • 1965 • 1970 • 1975 • 1980 • 1985 • 1990 • 1995 • 2000 • 2005 •

- CONSTRUCTION
- WORLD WAR II
- TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT
- 2nd GENERATION JETS
- PROJECT MERCURY
- CONVERSION
- CENTAUR PROGRAM
- PROPOSED REHAB
- DEMOLITION

USE THE SLIDER AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS SCREEN TO MOVE THE TIMELINE • CLICK ON THE CENTER TIMELINE FOR MORE DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION

Close Window X



DEMOLITION OF TUNNEL

This Glenn Research Center decided to demolish the bulk of the Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) circuit and adjacent AWT facilities and utilities related to part of the Building No. 7 and No. 8 complex. Although the AWT was unique based on its sheer size alone, the maintenance costs for the facility were so high as to be justified only by the largest of research programs. A cost estimate provided in 2004 to do minor exterior repairs and repaint the tunnel circuit and utilities was in excess of \$4 million.

In 2004 NASA Headquarters concurred with and advocated the NASA Glenn's proposal to demolish the tunnel. The facility had been out of service for more than 30 years. No significant research work had been done in the tunnel circuit since the early 1970s. Demolition work began in early 2008.

The National Historic Preservation Act mandates that for all demolitions of historical structures at NASA centers or any other federal agency formal notification of the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) is required before the start of the project. The agency and the SHPO must reach an agreement on an appropriate level of documentation of the facility prior to any work being performed.

NASA Glenn has undertaken an extensive effort to both physically

VISUAL MEDIA



INTERNET RESOURCES

- [Cultural Resources Management](#)
- [Protection of Historic Properties](#)
- [Council on Historic Preservation](#)

CLICK SMALL THUMBNAIL IMAGES FOR AN ENLARGED PREVIEW AND FURTHER INFORMATION


WORLD WAR II

TURBOJET DEVELOPMENT


PROJECT MERCURY

CONVERSION

- ALTIMUDE WIND TUNNEL**
- SPACE POWER CHAMBERS
- TEST AND SETUP LOCATIONS
- 2005-07 PHOTOGRAPHIC SURVEY




- ALTIMUDE WIND TUNNEL
- SPACE POWER CHAMBERS**
- TEST AND SETUP LOCATIONS
- 2005-07 PHOTOGRAPHIC SURVEY




SELECT THESE BUTTONS IN THE LAYOUT FOR IMAGES AND FURTHER DETAILS

- ALTIMUDE WIND TUNNEL
- SPACE POWER CHAMBERS
- TEST AND SETUP LOCATIONS**
- 2005-07 PHOTOGRAPHIC SURVEY



SELECT THESE BUTTONS IN THE LAYOUT FOR IMAGES AND FURTHER DETAILS

- ALTIMUDE WIND TUNNEL
- SPACE POWER CHAMBERS
- TEST AND SETUP LOCATIONS
- 2005-07 PHOTOGRAPHIC SURVEY**



SELECT THESE BUTTONS IN THE LAYOUT FOR IMAGES AND FURTHER DETAILS

"History of a Wind Tunnel"

NASA Glenn Research Center film No. C-216
1960

9 minutes, 40 seconds, Black & White


This film describes the NASA Glenn Research Center's Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT). It provides background information on the center's past twenty years of research on reciprocating engines, turbojets, and alternative propulsion. Drawings are used to explain how the tunnel was able to simulate altitude conditions. The AWT research on the B-29's Wright R-3350 engines, the Airacomet and Shooting Star early turbojets, jet engine flameouts, and the reduction of the tunnel test section to increase speeds are explained. The film also describes the founding of NASA in 1958 and the ensuing focus on space research. This included the use of the AWT for astronaut MASTIF training and Mercury capsule separation tests. The film includes footage of many of the tests, aerial views of the lab and the AWT, and construction of the tunnel. "History of a Wind Tunnel" was created just prior to the conversion of the facility into the Space Power Chambers in 1962.

[View the Video](#)

SCREEN SHOTS FROM THE DOCUMENTARY



Exhauster Building (1943)



DESCRIPTION:

Drawing of the Exhauster Building which housed the equipment used to remove the air from and drive the fan for the Altitude Wind Tunnel. The building housed 14 reciprocating Worthington exhausters on the main floor and a General Electric 18,000 horsepower drive motor in a tower at the rear. Drawing by K.K. Kisselle September 9, 1942.

[View Larger Image](#)



PROJECT:

YEAR:

MEDIA TYPE:

[Reset Gallery](#)

← USE THE PULL-DOWN MENUS AT LEFT TO FILTER GALLERY SELECTIONS

Reid, Bush, and Lewis at Langley (1938)



DESCRIPTION:

Vannevar Bush, member of the NACA Advisory Council, visits Langley Aeronautical Laboratory with George Lewis, the NACA Director of Research. Henry Reid, Engineer In Charge at Langley, is at the left. Soon after this photograph, the NACA would expand its research facilities with two new locations in Sunnyvale, California and Cleveland, Ohio.

View Larger Image



PROJECT: Show All Projects

YEAR: All Available

MEDIA TYPE: Still Images

Reset Gallery

USE THE PULL-DOWN MENUS AT LEFT TO FILTER GALLERY SELECTIONS


Reid, Bush, and Lewis at Langley (1938)



DESCRIPTION:

Vannevar Bush, member of the NACA Advisory Council, visits Langley Aeronautical Laboratory with George Lewis, the NACA Director of Research. Henry Reid, Engineer In Charge at Langley, is at the left. Soon after this photograph, the NACA would expand its research facilities with two new locations in Sunnyvale, California and Cleveland, Ohio.

[View Larger Image](#)



PROJECT:

YEAR:

MEDIA TYPE:

[Reset Gallery](#)

← USE THE PULL-DOWN MENUS AT LEFT TO FILTER GALLERY SELECTIONS

Reid, Bush, and Lewis at Langley (1938)



DESCRIPTION:

Vannevar Bush, member of the NACA Advisory Council, visits Langley Aeronautical Laboratory with George Lewis, the NACA Director of Research. Henry Reid, Engineer In Charge at Langley, is at the left. Soon after this photograph, the NACA would expand its research facilities with two new locations in Sunnyvale, California and Cleveland, Ohio.

[View Larger Image](#)



PROJECT:

YEAR:

MEDIA TYPE:

[Reset Gallery](#)

← USE THE PULL-DOWN MENUS AT LEFT TO FILTER GALLERY SELECTIONS

Reid, Bush, and Lewis at Langley (1938)

**DESCRIPTION:**

Vannevar Bush, member of the NACA Advisory Council, visits Langley Aeronautical Laboratory with George Lewis, the NACA Director of Research. Henry Reid, Engineer In Charge at Langley, is at the left. Soon after this photograph, the NACA would expand its research facilities with two new locations in Sunnyvale, California and Cleveland, Ohio.

[View Larger Image](#)

 PROJECT:

 YEAR:

 MEDIA TYPE:
[Reset Gallery](#)

USE THE PULL-DOWN MENUS AT LEFT TO FILTER GALLERY SELECTIONS

Birth of the B-29 (Video) (1945)



DESCRIPTION:

Birth of the B-29 (3 mins, 17 secs - Audio): Excerpt from a public domain World War II film on the manufacturing and design of the Boeing B-29 bomber. This section deals with the design and development of the aircraft.

View Video Clip



PROJECT:

YEAR:


MEDIA TYPE:

Reset Gallery


← USE THE PULL-DOWN MENUS AT LEFT TO FILTER GALLERY SELECTIONS

Airplane Engine Performance (1921)

Airplane Engine Performance (1921)

**DESCRIPTION:**

"Factors of airplane engine performance," by Victor Gage. (NACA TR-108, 1921)

[View PDF File](#)

 PROJECT:

 YEAR:

 RESOURCE TYPE:
[Reset Resources](#)


USE THE PULL-DOWN MENUS AT LEFT TO FILTER GALLERY SELECTIONS

This New Ocean (1962)



National Aeronautics and Space Administration

This New Ocean: A History of Project Mercury

By
Loyd S. Swenson Jr.
James M. Grimwood
Charles C. Alexander

Special Publication 4201 in the NASA History Series, 1965.

☐ The original publications are included within the box within square brackets.

☐ Direct Wrecks for formatting this book.

File

DESCRIPTION:

This New Ocean: A History of Project Mercury
NASA SP-4201 by Loyd S. Swenson Jr., James
M. Grimwood, and Charles C. Alexander

Visit Link



PROJECT:

YEAR:

RESOURCE TYPE:

Reset Resources

USE THE PULL-DOWN MENUS AT LEFT TO FILTER GALLERY SELECTIONS